## Integrated Modeling of MHD Induced Alpha Particle Losses in JET DT Plasmas

P. J. Bonofiglo<sup>1,a</sup>, M. Podestà<sup>2</sup>, V. G. Kiptily<sup>3</sup>, J. Rivero-Rodriguez<sup>3</sup>, M. Nocente<sup>4</sup>, Ž. Štancar<sup>3</sup>, M. Poradzinski<sup>3</sup>, S. E. Sharapov<sup>3</sup>, M. Fitzgerald<sup>3</sup>, R. Dumont<sup>5</sup>, J. Garcia<sup>5</sup>, D. Keeling<sup>2</sup>, JET Contributors<sup>a</sup>, and the EUROfusion Tokamak Exploitation Team<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>pbonofig@pppl.gov

Alpha particle confinement is crucial for sustaining burning plasmas and designing future reactor concepts. Along with classical/prompt losses, various MHD instabilities can lead to wave-particle interactions which can transport alpha particles outward from the plasma. This can result in a reduction in plasma heating/performance, and, at worst, damage in-vessel components. JET's recent DT campaigns in 2021-2023 have produced numerous alpha particle loss measurements with its scintillator probe and Faraday cup array fast ion loss detectors (FILDs) [1]. This presentation will report on integrated transport modeling in support of these measurements. The modeling is accomplished with the TRANSP [2] and ORBIT-kick [3] codes with the use of recently developed reduced models [4] which calculate mode structure, amplitude, and the evolving dynamics. In particular, the ORBIT-kick model quantifies the resonant transport of the alphas. When possible, constraints and comparisons to experiment are conducted with some mode structures taken from analytic theory. Case studies are performed on a variety of MHD, including: fishbones, neoclassical tearing modes (NTMs), and sawtooth crashes. Additionally, a special case of an alpha-driven toroidal Alfvén eigenmode (TAE) [5] is briefly discussed, where modeling showed marginally weak alpha losses and was unable to support experimental observations. Coupled effects between a NTM and toroidal field ripple are presented and were unable to replicate the observations in lost particle pitch but did duplicate the localized flattening of the measured neutron profile [6]. Additional modeling results compare the spatial loss profile, magnitude of losses, and energy/velocity-space sensitivities against experimental observations/measurements for each scenario.

## References

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory, Princeton, New Jersey, USA

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Swiss Plasma Center - EPFL, Lausanne, Switzerland

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>UKAEA, CCFE, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>University of Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>CEA, IRFM, F-13108 Saint-Paul-lex-Durance, France

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>See Maggi et al 2024 (https://doi.org/10.1088/1741-4326/ad3e16) for JET Contributors

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>See Joffrin et al 2024 (https://doi.org/10.1088/1741-4326/ad2be4) for the EUROfusion Tokamak Exploitation Team

- [1] P.J. Bonofiglo et al 2024 Nucl. Fusion 64 096038
- [2] B. Joshua, G. Marina., P. Francesca, S. Jai, P. Alexei and P. Gopan 2018 DOE Code TRANSP Software USDOE Office of Science (SC), Fusion Energy Sciences (FES) (doi:10.11578/dc.20180627.4)
- [3] M. Podestà et al 2014 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 56 055003
- [4] M. Podestà et al 2022 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 64 025002
- [5] M. Fitzgerald et al 2023 Nucl. Fusion 63 112006
- [6] V.G. Kiptily et al 2024 Nucl. Fusion 64 086059

## Acknowledgements

This manuscript is based upon work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, Office of Fusion Energy Sciences, and has been authored by Princeton University under Contract Number DE-AC02-09CH11466 with the U.S. Department of Energy. This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium, partially funded by the European Union via the Euratom Research and Training Programme (Grant Agreement No 101052200 — EUROfusion). The Swiss contribution to this work has been funded by the Swiss State Secretariat for Education, Research and Innovation (SERI). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union, the European Commission or SERI. Neither the European Union nor the European Commission nor SERI can be held responsible for them.