

21<sup>st</sup> Joint Workshop on Electron Cyclotron Emission (ECE) and Electron Cyclotron Resonance Heating (ECRH) 20-24 June 2022 – ITER Organization, Saint-Paul-lez-Durance, France

# **Electron Cyclotron Current Drive Efficiency in the STEP Device**

L. Figini<sup>1\*</sup>, S.J. Freethy<sup>2</sup>, M. Henderson<sup>2</sup>, S. Marsden<sup>2</sup>, K.K. Kirov<sup>2</sup>, R. Sharma<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Istituto per la Scienza e Tecnologia dei Plasmi, ISTP-CNR, 20125 Milano, Italy <sup>2</sup>UKAEA, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, OX14 3DB, United Kingdom

\* email: lorenzo.figini@istp.cnr.it

#### INTRODUCTION

- The UK's Spherical Tokamak for Energy Production (STEP) design program aims at demonstrating the ability to achieve a net electrical gain from fusion reactions in a magnetically confined plasma under reactor relevant conditions.
- A key aspect is the maximization of the plug-to-plasma Current Drive (CD) efficiency of the auxiliary Heating and Current Drive (H&CD) systems.
- The STEP program has recently decided to rely uniquely on mm-wave H&CD actuators, namely Electron Cyclotron (EC) and Electron Bernstein Waves (EBW) [1,2].

This work outlines the studies done so far: (i) to assess the H&CD capabilities of EC waves in STEP; (ii) to identify the optimal EC beam injection conditions which maximize the CD efficiency; and (iii) to verify their robustness against changes of the plasma parameters and/or changes of the launch conditions.



### MAXIMAL ECCD EFFICIENCY



Different SPRs compared via normalized ECCD efficiency [4]

$$I_{CD} = 3.27 \frac{R_m n_{19}}{T_{keV}} \frac{I_A}{P_W}$$

- $0.25 \le \zeta_{CD} \le 0.4$  at  $\rho < 0.5$  for all SPRs
- $\zeta_{CD} \approx 0.5$  marginally achievable at  $\rho \approx 0.6$  in SPR-45, assuming  $Z_{eff}$ =1.8. Lower  $\zeta_{CD}$  in the latest v3 iteration due to higher  $Z_{eff}$ =2.5
- OM injection is always preferred up to  $\rho < 0.7$
- Far off-axis ( $\rho$  > 0.8) XM shows large efficiency ( $\zeta_{CD}$  > 0.8 in SPR-14) but launch condition is not robust (CD via trapped electrons at down-shifted first harmonic close to cut-off condition)
- n=2 resonance preferred only at  $\rho$  < 0.3÷0.5 in high n<sub>e</sub> concepts (SPR-39, SPR-45)
- Optimal LP elevation  $|z_{IP}|$  increases with incr.  $\rho$



	5.50	5.2	TO	19	1.4	1.0
45 (v1)	3.60	3.2	20	17	1.9	1.8
45 (v3)	3.60	3.2	20	18	2.0	2.5
14	4.73	4.0	21	22	0.9	1.7

## EC LAUNCH PARAMETRIC SCAN -



### Extensive parametric analysis ( $\approx 10^7$ runs per SPR) via quasi-optical beam-tracing code GRAY [3]

- 9-16 Different **launch points** (LP)
- 2 possible **polarizations** (OM, XM)
- **frequency** scan from  $f_L$  up to >3 $f_{ce}$
- wide range of **poloidal** ( $\alpha$ ) and **toroidal** ( $\beta$ ) launch angles

Assessment of

- max(I<sub>CD</sub>) & optimal launches vs ρ
- launch angles and frequency tolerances



### SENSITIVITY TO DENSITY

Motivation of the analysis:

- a. Assess sensitivity to variations of plasma parameters (robustness of launch conditions)
- b. Investigate possibility to operate at higher ne (beneficial for EBW)



0.6

0.8

### Preliminary results:

- Quantified changes in J<sub>CD</sub> а. location and  $\zeta_{CD}$  for the nominal optimal launches
- b. Performed re-optimization at ±10%, ±25% n<sub>e</sub>
  - $\zeta_{CD}$  mostly affected around  $\rho \approx 0.6$  (n=1 to n=2 transition)



### **CONCLUSIONS**

0.2

0.4



- A maximum normalized ECCD efficiency 0.25 <  $\zeta_{CD}$  < 0.4 was typically found at  $\rho$  < 0.6 in all the SPRs assessed in this study, via OM absorption at the n=1 or n=2 harmonics.
- Far off-axis ( $\rho > 0.8$ ),  $\zeta_{CD} > 0.5$  can be achieved via XM absorption at the down-shifted first harmonic resonance, but with a narrow operational space
- Maximization of ECCD over the whole radial range requires LPs at different elevations  $|z_{IP}|$
- High n<sub>e</sub> limits the exploitation of n=1 resonance at mid-radius. Otherwise, the maximum achievable  $\zeta_{CD}$  is fairly insensitive to  $n_e$  variations (meaning max( $I_{CD}$ )/P  $\propto T_e/n_e$ )
- The trade-off between maximum performance and reliable operation needs a careful evaluation before a final choice is made for the optimal EC launch configuration

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This work has been carried out under a contract (PO #2053810) signed between UKAEA and **ISTP-CNR** 

#### **REFERENCES**

[1] S. Freethy, this workshop [2] T. Wilson, this workshop

[3] D. Farina, Fusion Sci. Technol. **52** (2007) 154 [4] T.C. Luce, et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 83 (1999) 4550