## Application of a Quasioptical code PARADE: modeling of ECRH, ECCD and ECE diagnostics in toroidal fusion devices

Kota Yanagihara<sup>1)</sup> and Shin Kubo<sup>2)</sup>

<sup>1)</sup>Naka Fusion Institute, National Institute for Quantum Science and Technology (QST) <sup>2)</sup>Chubu University



## PARADE for ECRH, ECCD, and ECE modeling

Various improvements on ECRH, ECCD, and ECE modeling by Quasioptical code PARADE with relativistic effects in JT-60SA and JA-DEMO are presented today.

In order to predict EC applications in reasonable costs with high accuracy, We applied,

- <1> Quasioptical beam model for ECRH (NOT geometrical rays)
- (2) Adjoint technique for ECCD calculation
- (3) Quasioptical beam model for ECE (NOT geometrical rays)
- <4> Fully relativistic dispersion for absorption (NOT weakly relativistic coefficient in quasi-perp. limit)
- (5) Weakly relativistic dispersion for propagation (NOT cold dispersion)

ECRH&CD&E code w/ multi-rays and relativity has been already proposed, but quasioptical one is not reported before.

Topic 1 <ECRH> Power deposition w/ Quasioptical beam model

## Quasioptical ray tracing code PARADE [1-4] Schematic image of calculations implemented in PARADE.



# PARADE traces wave beam with its arbitrary quasioptical envelope in inhomogeneous anisotropic media.

[1] I. Y. Dodin *et al.*, Phys. Plasmas (2019)[2] K. Yanagihara *et al.*, Phys. Plasmas (2019a)

[3] K. Yanagihara *et al.*, Phys. Plasmas (2019b)[4] K. Yanagihara *et al.*, Phys. Plasmas (2021)



Electron density and temperature profile Typical operation scenario 2 & 5-1 of JT-60SA, and JA-DEMO.



These profiles are used for PARADE simulations in this presentation.

Topic 2 <ECCD> Current drive module based on Adjoint technique

## Driven current predictions for tokamak applications Adjoint technique [5,6] for reasonable simulation

[5] M. Taguchi, Plasma Phys. Controlled Fusion (1989)[6] N. B. Marushchenko *et al.*, Phys. Plasmas (2011)

5

$$\langle J_{\parallel}\rangle = \frac{ev_{\rm th}}{\nu_{\rm e0}} \frac{\langle b\rangle}{\langle b^2\rangle} \langle \int du^3 \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial u} \Gamma_{\rm rf} \rangle : \text{current model same with [6]}$$

 $\langle \cdot \cdot \cdot 
angle$ : flux surface average  $u = v \gamma$ 

 $u_{e0}$  : collisional frequency

 $\chi$  : Spitzer function

-> Corresponds to distribution function in weak E-field. Can be determined immediately, since not to depend on waves.

 $b = |B|/|B_{\text{max}}|$ 

 $\Gamma_{\rm rf} = -D_{\rm rf} \cdot \nabla f_m$ : Quasi-linear diffusion term -> spatial profile is Improved by PARADE

Widely used due to reasonable computational cost. Namely, evaluate driven current, using Spitzer function instead of a distribution function.

Model equations are same with [6], but spatial profiles of wave vector and amplitude are improved by quasioptical analysis of PARADE.

(u-space 3D integral is reduced to 1D problem as shown in appendix.)



De-focusing beam w/ long path -> Multi-rays are asymptotic to quasioptical beam. 10-15 keV and deep toroidal injection angle -> difference bet.  $D_{WR,A}$  and  $D_{FR,A}$  is small. In such a proper situation, reasonable results are obtained.



**Dissipation-Diffraction interaction**, in other words, Absorption-Propagation interaction (see [4,7, next slide]) makes broadening of power deposition & driven current profile. Conventional ray tracing and most quasioptical codes cannot account this effect.

[4] K. Yanagihara *et al.*, Phys. Plasmas (2021)[7] K. Yanagihara *et al.*, Nucl. Fusion (2021)

**Dissipation-Diffraction Interaction** 

Intensity profile on beam cross sections along the R.R. in JT-60SA scinario5-1. 110 GHz X mode ( $\theta_t$ ,  $\theta_p$ ) = (10°,-15°).

#### NOTE THAT, Reference Ray (RR) is on $(\rho^1, \rho^2) = (0.0, 0.0)$ .



Beam power is dissipated non-uniformly due to inhomogeneous structure of 2nd harm. resonance. Then, beam profile is shifted from the RR position,  $(\rho^1, \rho^2) = (0.0, 0.0)$ , and broadened due to diffraction.

Topic 3 <ECE> Electron cyclotron emission module with quasioptical model EC emission model (1)

Radiation transfer equation, conventionally used on a geometrical optics, is modified to suit for quasioptical system.

Let us start from the conservation low of the wave action flux (or Poynting flux)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\zeta} \int \mathrm{d}^2 \varrho \, \phi^+(\zeta, \varrho^1, \varrho^2) \phi(\zeta, \varrho^1, \varrho^2) = \frac{2}{V_\star} \int \mathrm{d}^2 \varrho \, \phi^+(\zeta, \varrho^1, \varrho^2) \Gamma(\zeta, \varrho^1, \varrho^2) \phi(\zeta, \varrho^1, \varrho^2)$$
  
where  $\phi^+ \phi = V_\star(\zeta) a^+ a$ 

It can be rewritten to simple form as,

$$\begin{split} \frac{\mathrm{d}P(\zeta)}{\mathrm{d}\zeta} &= \frac{2}{V_{\star}} \widehat{W}[\Gamma](\zeta) \, P(\zeta) \\ \text{where } P(\zeta) &= \int \mathrm{d}^2 \varrho \, \phi^+(\zeta, \varrho^1, \varrho^2) \phi(\zeta, \varrho^1, \varrho^2) \\ \widehat{W}[f](\zeta) &= \frac{\int \mathrm{d}^2 \varrho \, \phi^+(\zeta, \varrho^1, \varrho^2) f(\zeta, \varrho^1, \varrho^2) \phi(\zeta, \varrho^1, \varrho^2)}{\int \mathrm{d}^2 \varrho \, \phi^+(\zeta, \varrho^1, \varrho^2) \phi(\zeta, \varrho^1, \varrho^2)}, \end{split}$$

EC emission model (2)

Radiation transfer equation, conventionally used on a geometrical optics, is modified to suit for quasioptical system.

Introduce the source term  $\mathcal B$  to consider the radiation as,

$$\begin{split} \frac{\mathrm{d}P(\zeta)}{\mathrm{d}\zeta} &= \mathfrak{B}(\zeta) - \mathfrak{A}(\zeta) \, P(\zeta) \\ \text{where } \mathfrak{A}(\zeta) &= -\frac{2}{V_{\star}} \widehat{W}[\Gamma](\zeta) \\ \mathfrak{B}(\zeta) &= \widehat{W}[\beta](\zeta) = \frac{\int \mathrm{d}^2 \varrho \, \phi^+(\zeta, \varrho^1, \varrho^2) \beta(\zeta, \varrho^1, \varrho^2) \phi(\zeta, \varrho^1, \varrho^2)}{\int \mathrm{d}^2 \varrho \, \phi^+(\zeta, \varrho^1, \varrho^2) \phi(\zeta, \varrho^1, \varrho^2)} \end{split}$$

This representation is quite similar with a conventional model,

$$N_r^2 \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\zeta} \left( \frac{I(\zeta)}{N_r^2} \right) = \beta(\zeta) - \alpha(\zeta) I(\zeta)$$

Hence, we can follow a derivation of it, and obtain the modified equation that,

$$P(\zeta) = \int d\zeta \,\mathfrak{B}(\zeta) e^{-\tau(\zeta)} \qquad \text{where } \tau(\zeta) = \int_0^{\zeta} d\zeta' \,\mathfrak{A}(\zeta')$$
$$T_{\text{rad}} = \frac{8\pi^3 c^2}{\omega^2} P$$

Topic 4 <D<sub>A</sub>> Fully relativistic dispersion for Anti-Hermitian part : absorption Numerical integrated  $D_A$  for any (=fully relativistic)  $f_0$ Summarized from classic hot dispersion tensor [8,9] as,

$$D_{A} = \frac{\varepsilon_{a}}{\varepsilon_{0}} = -\pi X \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}u^{3}}{\gamma} \delta(R_{n}) \mathbf{V}_{n} \mathbf{V}_{n}^{*} \mathbf{G} \cdot \nabla f_{0}$$

$$V_{n} = u_{\perp} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} [J_{n-1}(b) + J_{n+1}(b)] \\ \frac{i}{2} [J_{n-1}(b) - J_{n+1}(b)] \\ \frac{u_{\parallel}}{u_{\perp}} J_{n}(b) \end{pmatrix} R_{n} = nY + N_{\parallel} \frac{u_{\parallel}}{c} - \gamma$$

$$\mathbf{G} \cdot \nabla = \frac{1}{u_{\perp}} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma - N_{\parallel} \frac{u_{\parallel}}{c}, N_{\parallel} \frac{u_{\perp}}{c} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{\perp} \\ \partial_{\parallel} \end{pmatrix} \qquad b = \frac{N_{\perp}}{Y} \frac{u_{\perp}}{c}$$

$$\int \frac{\mathrm{d}u^{3}}{\gamma} = 2\pi \int u_{\perp} \mathrm{d}u_{\perp} \int \mathrm{d}u_{\parallel} \qquad X = \frac{\omega_{p}^{2}}{\omega^{2}} \qquad Y = \frac{\omega_{c}}{\omega}$$

Instead of using Z or F functions, directly adopt a relativistic-Maxwell distribution function into this model and numerically integrate. (%Any distributions are available.) The problem is the calculation cost of 2D integral in velocity space. However, we succeeded to reduce it to 1D problem along the resonance curve.

## 1-dimentional integral along a resonance curve

#### Schematic image in velocity space

Resonance Curve (RC) satisfies

$$R_{\rm n} = {\rm n}Y + N_{\parallel} \frac{u_{\parallel}}{c} - \gamma = 0$$

2D integral w/  $\delta(R_n=0)$  can be reduced 1D integral along the RC as,

$$\int \mathrm{d}u_{\perp} \int \mathrm{d}u_{\parallel} \delta(R_{\mathrm{n}}) = \int \mathrm{d}s$$

We can trace the RC by using [8],

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}u_{\parallel}}{\mathrm{d}s} = -\frac{\partial R_{\mathrm{n}}}{\partial u_{\perp}} = \frac{u_{\perp}}{\gamma c}$$
$$\frac{\mathrm{d}u_{\perp}}{\mathrm{d}s} = \frac{\partial R_{\mathrm{n}}}{\partial u_{\parallel}} = \frac{k_{\parallel}}{\omega} - \frac{u_{\parallel}}{\gamma c}$$



Start point can be found on  $u_{||}$  axis by using Newton-Method.

Newton trial start point can be chosen easily from non-relativistic resonance condition,  $u_{||} = (\omega - n\omega_c)/k_{||}$ 

-> Substantial reduction of calculation cost is succeeded by introducing RC trace. [8] K. Yanagihara, Nucl. Fusion (2022) 13 Injection-angle dependence of each dissipation model

Dissipation coefficients  $\Gamma$  w/ D<sub>Hot,A</sub>, D<sub>WR,A</sub>, and D<sub>FR,A</sub>, as functions of f/f<sub>c</sub>



All  $\Gamma$  show Doppler broadening, which is significant in parallel-injection.

 $\Gamma_{Hot}$  gets too much narrow and large peak in perp.-injection, while  $\Gamma_{WR}$  &  $\Gamma_{FR}$  are in good agreement.

 $\Gamma_{Hot}$  and  $\Gamma_{WR}$  seems to be overestimated, for down-shift side of Doppler broadening, especially for parallel-injection.

 $\rightarrow D_{FR,A}$  is essential for dissipation modeling w/ oblique injection-angle.

Also...

 $\Gamma_{\rm WR}$  has negative values in all angles, which need numerical cutoff in practice.

### Temperature dependence of each dissipation model

Dissipation coefficients  $\Gamma$  w/ D<sub>Hot,A</sub>, D<sub>WR,A</sub>, and D<sub>FR,A</sub>, as functions of f/f<sub>c</sub>



 $\Gamma_{WR} \& \Gamma_{FR}$  show relativistic down-shift, which become significant in high temp..

 $\Gamma_{Hot}$  and  $\Gamma_{WR}$  seems to be overestimated, for down-shift side of Doppler broadening, especially for high temp..

 $\rightarrow D_{FR,A}$  is essential for dissipation modeling in high temp. condition.

#### Also...

 $\Gamma_{WR}$  has negative values in all angles, which need numerical cutoff in practice.

## Power absorption w/ fully relativistic $D_A$ in JA-DEMO

Power absorptions simulated by PARADE with Hot, WR, and FR model



[8] K. Yanagihara, Nucl. Fusion (2022) 16

Topic 5 <D<sub>H</sub>> Weakly relativistic dispersion for Hermitian part : propagation Weakly relativistic dispersion for Hermitian part





OL & XL : WR branches deviate from Cold branches near the 1st resonance. XR: WR branch deviates from Cold branch near the 2nd resonance.  $\rightarrow$  D<sub>WR.H</sub> makes different propagation path from conventional D<sub>C,H</sub> near resonances. [8] K. Yanagihara, Nucl. Fusion (2022)

17

# Weak relativity makes additional beam bending WR $D_H$ makes extra-bending of beam path near the resonance.



18

## Summary

- We report today Application results of Quasioptical code PARADE to ECRH, ECCD, and ECE modeling with relativistic effects in JT-60SA and JA-DEMO plasmas.
- In order to predict ECRH, ECCD, and ECE in reasonable costs with high accuracy, we introduced 5 topics as follows.
- 1. Quasioptical beam model gives broadening of power deposition profile.
- 2. Current drive module based on Adjoint technique to suit for PARADE gives reasonable result.
- 3. EC emission module with quasioptical model gives qualitatively consistent result but need more detailed validations.
- 4. Fully relativistic dispersion with 1D integral for  $D_A$  gives different absorption rate, especially in high  $T_e$  condition and large  $N_{//}$  condition.
- 5. Weakly relativistic dispersion for  $D_H$  gives variation of a beam propagation path near the resonance.
- Topics 1-3 will be reported in a new paper with the same (or similar) title as this presentation. Topics 4,5 have been reported in [8].